

BERKOVICH SPACES EMBED IN EUCLIDEAN SPACES

EHUD HRUSHOVSKI, FRANÇOIS LOESER, AND BJORN POONEN

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field that is complete with respect to a nonarchimedean absolute value such that K has a countable dense subset. We prove that the Berkovich analytification V^{an} of any d -dimensional quasi-projective scheme V over K embeds in \mathbb{R}^{2d+1} . If, moreover, the value group of K is dense in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and V is a curve, then we describe the homeomorphism type of V^{an} by using the theory of local dendrites.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, **valued field** will mean a field K equipped with a nonarchimedean absolute value $|\cdot|$ (or equivalently with a valuation taking values in an additive subgroup of \mathbb{R}). Let K be a complete valued field. Let V be a quasi-projective K -scheme. The associated Berkovich space V^{an} [Ber90, §3.4] is a topological space that serves as a nonarchimedean analogue of the complex analytic space associated to a complex variety. (Actually, V^{an} carries more structure, but it is only the underlying topological space that concerns us here.) Although the set $V(K)$ in its natural topology is totally disconnected, V^{an} is arcwise connected if and only if V is connected [Ber90, Proposition 3.4.8(iii)]. Also, V^{an} is locally contractible: see [Ber99, Ber04] for the smooth case, and [HL12, Theorem 13.4.1] for the general case.

Our goal is to study the topology of V^{an} under a mild countability hypothesis on K with its absolute value topology. For instance, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be a complete valued field having a countable dense subset. Let V be a quasi-projective K -scheme of dimension d . Then V^{an} is homeomorphic to a subspace of \mathbb{R}^{2d+1} .*

Remark 1.2. The hypothesis that K has a countable dense subset is necessary as well as sufficient. Namely, K embeds in $(\mathbb{A}_K^1)^{\text{an}}$, so if the latter embeds in a separable metric space such as \mathbb{R}^n , then K must have a countable dense subset.

Remark 1.3. The hypothesis is satisfied when K is the completion of an algebraic closure of a completion of a global field k , i.e., when K is $\mathbb{C}_p := \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ or its characteristic p analogue $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}$, because the algebraic closure of k in K is countable and dense. It follows that the hypothesis is satisfied also for any complete subfield of these two fields.

Date: October 23, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14G22; Secondary 54F50.

Key words and phrases. Berkovich space, analytification, dendrite, local dendrite, Euclidean embedding.

E.H. was supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC Grant agreement no. 291111/ MODAG.

F.L. was supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC Grant agreement no. 246903/NMNAG.

B.P. was partially supported by the Guggenheim Foundation and National Science Foundation grant DMS-1069236.

Recall that a valued field is called **spherically complete** if every descending sequence of balls has nonempty intersection. Say that K has **dense value group** if $|\cdot|: K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ has dense image, or equivalently if the value group is not isomorphic to $\{0\}$ or \mathbb{Z} .

Remark 1.4. The separability hypothesis fails for any spherically complete field K with dense value group. Proof: Let (t_i) be a sequence of elements of K such that the sequence $|t_i|$ is strictly decreasing with positive limit. For each sequence $\epsilon = (\epsilon_i)$ with $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$, define

$$U_\epsilon := \{x \in K : |x - \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i t_i| < |t_n| \text{ for all } n\}.$$

The U_ϵ are uncountably many disjoint open subsets of K , and each is nonempty by definition of spherically complete.

Let us sketch the proof of Theorem 1.1. We may assume that V is projective. The key is a result that presents V^{an} as a filtered limit of finite simplicial complexes. Variants of this limit description have appeared in several places in the literature (see the end of [Pay09, Section 1] for a summary); for convenience, we use [HL12, Theorem 13.2.4], a version that does not assume that K is algebraically closed (and that proves more than we need, namely that the maps in the inverse limit can be taken to be strong deformation retractions). Our hypothesis on K is used to show that the index set for the limit has a countable cofinal subset. To complete the proof, we use a well-known result from topology, Proposition 3.1, that an inverse limit of a sequence of finite simplicial complexes of dimension at most d can be embedded in \mathbb{R}^{2d+1} .

Our article is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 give a quick proof of Proposition 3.1. Sections 4 and 5 prove results that are needed to replace K by a countable subfield, in order to obtain a countable index set for the inverse limit. Section 6 combines all of the above to prove Theorem 1.1. The final sections of the paper study the topology of Berkovich curves: after reviewing and developing the theory of dendrites and local dendrites in Sections 7 and 8, respectively, we show in Section 9 how to obtain the homeomorphism type of any Berkovich curve over K as above, under the additional hypothesis that the value group is dense in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. For example, as a special case of Corollary 9.2, we show that $(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^1)^{\text{an}}$ is homeomorphic to a topological space first constructed in 1923, the Ważewski universal dendrite [Waż23]

2. APPROXIMATING MAPS OF FINITE SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES BY EMBEDDINGS

If X is a topological space, a map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called an **embedding** if f is a homeomorphism onto its image. For compact X , it is equivalent to require that f be a continuous injection. When we speak of a finite simplicial complex, we always mean its geometric realization, a compact subset of some \mathbb{R}^n . A set of points in \mathbb{R}^n is said to be in **general position** if for each $m \leq n-1$, no $m+2$ of the points lie in an m -dimensional affine subspace.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a finite simplicial complex of dimension at most d . Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. For any continuous map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$, there is an embedding $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ such that $|g(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. The simplicial approximation theorem implies that f can be approximated within $\epsilon/2$ by a piecewise linear map g_0 . For each vertex x_i in the corresponding subdivision of X , in turn, choose $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ within $\epsilon/2$ of $g_0(x_i)$ so that the y_i are in general position. Let $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ be the piecewise linear map, for the same subdivision, such that $g(x_i) = y_i$. Then g is injective, and g is within $\epsilon/2$ of g_0 , so g is within ϵ of f . \square

3. INVERSE LIMITS OF FINITE SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be an inverse system of finite simplicial complexes of dimension at most d with respect to continuous maps $p_n: X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$. Then the inverse limit $X := \varprojlim X_n$ embeds in \mathbb{R}^{2d+1} .*

Proof. For $m \geq 0$, let $\Delta_m \subseteq X_m \times X_m$ be the diagonal, and write $(X_m \times X_m) - \Delta_m = \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} C_{mn}$ with C_{mn} compact. For $0 \leq m \leq n$, let D_{mn} be the inverse image of C_{mn} in $X_n \times X_n$. Let $K_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^n D_{mn}$. Since K_n is closed in $X_n \times X_n$, it is compact.

For $n \geq 0$, we inductively construct an embedding $f_n: X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ and numbers $\alpha_n, \epsilon_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that the following hold for all $n \geq 0$:

- (i) If $(x, x') \in K_n$, then $|f_n(x) - f_n(x')| \geq \alpha_n$.
- (ii) $\epsilon_n < \alpha_n/4$.
- (iii) $\epsilon_n < \epsilon_{n-1}/2$ (if $n \geq 1$).
- (iv) If $x \in X_{n+1}$, then $|f_{n+1}(x) - f_n(p_n(x))| \leq \epsilon_n$.

Let $f_0: X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ be any embedding (apply Lemma 2.1 to a constant map, for instance). Now suppose that $n \geq 0$ and that f_n has been constructed. Since f_n is injective and K_n is compact, we may choose $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying (i). Choose any $\epsilon_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying (ii) and (iii). Apply Lemma 2.1 to $p_n \circ f_n$ to find f_{n+1} satisfying (iv). This completes the inductive construction.

Now $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \epsilon_i < 2\epsilon_n < \alpha_n/2$ by (iii) and (ii). Let \hat{f}_n be the composition $X \rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$. For $x \in X$, (iv) implies $|\hat{f}_{n+1}(x) - \hat{f}_n(x)| \leq \epsilon_n$, so the maps \hat{f}_n converge uniformly to a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ satisfying $|f(x) - f_n(x_n)| < \alpha_n/2$.

We claim that f is injective. Suppose that $x = (x_n)$ and $x' = (x'_n)$ are distinct points of X . Fix m such that $x_m \neq x'_m$. Fix $n \geq m$ such that $(x_m, x'_m) \in C_{mn}$. Then $(x_n, x'_n) \in D_{mn} \subseteq K_n$. By (i), $|f_n(x_n) - f_n(x'_n)| \geq \alpha_n$. On the other hand, $|f(x) - f_n(x_n)| < \alpha_n/2$ and $|f(x') - f_n(x'_n)| < \alpha_n/2$, so $f(x) \neq f(x')$. \square

Remark 3.2. Proposition 3.1 was proved in the 1930s. Namely, following a 1928 sketch by K. Menger, in 1931 it was proved independently in by S. Lefschetz [Lef31], G. Nöbeling [Nöb31], and L. Pontryagin and G. Tolstowa [PT31] that any compact metrizable space of dimension at most d embeds in \mathbb{R}^{2d+1} . The proofs proceed by using P. Alexandroff's idea of approximating compact spaces by finite simplicial complexes (nerves of finite covers), so even if it not obvious that the 1931 *result* applies directly to an inverse limit of finite simplicial complexes of dimension at most d (i.e., whether such an inverse limit is of dimension at most d), the *proofs* still apply. And in any case, in 1937 H. Freudenthal [Fre37] proved that a compact metrizable space is of dimension at most d if and only if it is an inverse limit of finite simplicial complexes of dimension at most d . See Sections 1.11 and 1.13 of [Eng78] for more about the history, including later improvements.

4. FIBER COPRODUCTS OF VALUED FIELDS

We work in the category whose objects are valued fields and whose morphisms are field homomorphisms respecting the absolute values. For example, if K is a valued field, we have a natural morphism from K to its completion \hat{K} . Given morphisms $i_1: K \rightarrow L_1$ and $i_2: K \rightarrow L_2$ of valued fields, an **amalgam** of L_1 and L_2 over K is a triple (M, j_1, j_2) where M is a valued field and $j_1: L_1 \rightarrow M$ and $j_2: L_2 \rightarrow M$ are morphisms such that

$j_1 \circ i_1 = j_2 \circ i_2$ and such that M is generated by $j_1(L_1)$ and $j_2(L_2)$. An isomorphism of amalgams $(M, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (M', j'_1, j'_2)$ is an isomorphism $\phi: M \rightarrow M'$ such that $\phi \circ j_1 = j'_1$ and $\phi \circ j_2 = j'_2$.

Proposition 4.1. *Given morphisms $K \rightarrow L_1$ and $K \rightarrow L_2$ of valued fields such that K is dense in L_1 , the fiber coproduct of L_1 and L_2 over K exists and is the unique amalgam of L_1 and L_2 over K .*

Proof. Since K is dense in L_1 , the composition $K \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow \widehat{L}_2$ extends uniquely to $L_1 \rightarrow \widehat{L}_2$. Hence we may view K , L_1 , and L_2 as subfields of \widehat{L}_2 , and the morphisms $K \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow \widehat{L}_2$ and $K \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow \widehat{L}_2$ as inclusions. Let $M := L_1 L_2 \subseteq \widehat{L}_2$. Now, given any M' in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M' & \\ L_1 & \nearrow & \nwarrow L_2 \\ & K & \end{array}$$

we may view one of the two upper morphisms, say $L_2 \rightarrow M'$, as an inclusion. Then all the fields become subfields of \widehat{M}' . Now the other upper morphism $L_1 \rightarrow M'$ is an inclusion too since the composition $L_1 \rightarrow M' \hookrightarrow \widehat{M}'$ restricts to the inclusion morphism on the dense subfield K . Thus $M = L_1 L_2 \subseteq M'$, and there is a unique morphism $M \rightarrow M'$ compatible with the morphisms from L_1 and L_2 , namely the inclusion. Thus M is a fiber coproduct.

The existence of a fiber coproduct implies that at most one amalgam exists. Since M is generated by L_1 and L_2 , it is an amalgam. \square

Remark 4.2. In [HL12], the value groups of valued fields are not necessarily contained in \mathbb{R} . Proposition 4.1 remains true in the larger category, and the amalgams in the two categories coincide when they make sense, i.e., when the valued fields in question happen to have value group contained in \mathbb{R} .

5. BERKOVICH SPACES OVER NONCOMPLETE FIELDS

Berkovich analytifications were originally defined only when the valued field K was complete [Ber90]. For a quasi-projective variety V over an *arbitrary* valued field K , [HL12, Section 13.1] defines a topological space in terms of types, and proves that it is homeomorphic to V^{an} when K is complete.

This definition uses types over $K \cup \mathbb{R}$. Using quantifier elimination for the theory of algebraically closed valued fields in the two-sorted language consisting of the valued field and the value group, such types can be identified with pairs (L, c) with L an \mathbb{R} -valued field extension of K , where (L, c) is identified with (L', c') if there exists a K -isomorphism $f: L \rightarrow L'$ of \mathbb{R} -valued fields with $f(c) = c'$. This description makes it clear that if v is the type of (K', a) , then an extension of v to $L \geq K$ corresponds precisely to an amalgam of K' and L over K .

The restriction map r from types over L to types over K' (where $K \leq K' \leq L$) takes (L, a) to $(K'(a), a)$. If $h: V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism of varieties over K , the restriction map r is clearly compatible with the natural map from types on V to types on W induced by h .

We take this space of types as a definition of the topological space V^{an} for arbitrary valued fields K . The following proposition shows that no new spaces arise: it would have been equivalent to define V^{an} as $(V_{\widehat{K}})^{\text{an}}$ (the subscript denotes base extension).

Proposition 5.1. *Let $K \leq L$ be an extension of valued fields such that K is dense in L . Let V be a quasi-projective K -variety. Then $(V_L)^{\text{an}}$ is naturally homeomorphic to V^{an} .*

Proof. Restriction of types defines a continuous map $r_V: (V_L)^{\text{an}} \rightarrow V^{\text{an}}$. A point $v \in V^{\text{an}}$ is represented by the type of some $a \in V(K')$ for some valued field extension $K' = K(a)$ of K ; then $r_V^{-1}(v)$ is in bijection with the set of amalgams of K' and L over K , which by Proposition 4.1 is a set of size 1. Thus r_V is a bijection.

If V is projective, then V^{an} and $(V_L)^{\text{an}}$ are compact Hausdorff spaces [HL12, Proposition 13.1.2], so the continuous bijection r_V is a homeomorphism. If V is an open subscheme of a projective variety P , then $(V_L)^{\text{an}}$ and V^{an} are open subspaces of $(P_L)^{\text{an}}$ and P^{an} , respectively, and r_P restricts to r_V , so the result for P implies the result for V . \square

6. EMBEDDINGS OF BERKOVICH SPACES

Proposition 6.1. *Let K be a valued field having a countable dense subset. Let V be a projective K -scheme of dimension d . Then V^{an} is homeomorphic to a inverse limit $\varprojlim X_n$ where each X_n is a finite simplicial complex of dimension at most d and each map $X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ is continuous.*

Proof. First suppose that K is countable. Since V is projective, V^{an} is compact, so we may apply [HL12, Theorem 13.2.4] to V^{an} to obtain that V^{an} is a filtered limit of finite simplicial complexes over an index set I . Since K is countable, the proof of [HL12, Theorem 13.2.4] shows that I may be taken to be countable, so our limit may be taken over a sequence, as desired.

Now assume only that K has a countable dense subset. Since V is of finite presentation over K , it is the base extension of a projective scheme V_0 over a countable subfield K_0 of K . By adjoining to K_0 a countable dense subset of K , we may assume that K_0 is dense in K . By Proposition 5.1, V^{an} is homeomorphic to $(V_0)^{\text{an}}$, which has already been shown to be an inverse limit of the desired form. \square

Proposition 6.2. *Let K be a complete valued field. If U is an open subscheme of V , then the induced map $U^{\text{an}} \rightarrow V^{\text{an}}$ is a homeomorphism onto an open subspace.*

Proof. See [Ber90, Proposition 3.4.6(8)]. \square

Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Propositions 3.1, 6.1, and 6.2.

7. DENDRITES

When V is a curve, more can be said about V^{an} . But first we recall some definitions and facts from topology.

7.1. Definitions. A continuum is a compact connected metrizable space (the empty space is not connected). A simple closed curve in a topological space is any subspace homeomorphic to a circle. A dendrite is a locally connected continuum containing no simple closed curve. Dendrites may be thought of as topological generalizations of trees in which branching may occur at a dense set of points. A point x in a dendrite X is called a **branch point** if $X - \{x\}$ has three or more connected components.

7.2. Ważewski's theorems. The following three theorems were proved by T. Ważewski in his thesis [Waż23].¹

Theorem 7.1. *Up to homeomorphism, there is a unique dendrite W such that its branch points are dense in W and there are \aleph_0 branches at each branch point.*

The dendrite W in Theorem 7.1 is called the **Ważewski universal dendrite**.

Theorem 7.2. *Every dendrite embeds in W .*

Theorem 7.3. *Every dendrite is homeomorphic to the image of some continuous map $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$.*

Remark 7.4. The key to drawing W in the plane is to make sure that the branches coming out of each branch point have diameters tending to 0.

7.3. Pointed dendrites. A **pointed dendrite** is a pair (X, P) where X is a dendrite and $P \in X$. An embedding of pointed dendrites is an embedding of topological spaces mapping the point in the first to the point in the second. Let \mathcal{P} be the category of pointed dendrites, in which morphisms are embeddings. By the **universal pointed dendrite**, we mean W equipped with one of its branch points w .

Theorem 7.5. *Every pointed dendrite (X, P) admits an embedding into the universal pointed dendrite (W, w) .*

Proof. Enlarge X by attaching a segment at P in order to assume that P is a branch point of X . Theorem 7.2 yields an embedding $i: X \hookrightarrow W$. Then $i(P)$ is a branch point of W . By [Cha91, Proposition 4.7], there is a homeomorphism $j: W \rightarrow W$ mapping $i(P)$ to w . Then $j \circ i$ is an embedding $(X, P) \rightarrow (W, w)$. \square

Proposition 7.6. *Any dendrite admits a strong deformation retraction onto any of its points.*

Proof. In fact, a dendrite admits a strong deformation retraction onto any subcontinuum [Ill96]. \square

8. LOCAL DENDRITES

8.1. Definition and basic properties. A **local dendrite** is a continuum such that every point has a neighborhood that is a dendrite. Equivalently, a continuum is a local dendrite if and only if it is locally connected and contains at most a finite number of simple closed curves [Kur68, §51, VII, Theorem 4(i)]. Local dendrites are generalizations of finite connected graphs, just as dendrites are generalizations of finite trees.

Proposition 8.1.

(a) *Every subcontinuum of a local dendrite is a local dendrite.*

¹Actually, Ważewski used a different, equivalent definition: for him, a dendrite was any image D of a continuous map $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that D contains no simple closed curve. A dendrite in Ważewski's sense is a dendrite in our sense by [Nad92, Corollary 8.17]. Conversely, a dendrite in our sense embeds in \mathbb{R}^2 by [Nad92, Section 10.37] (or, alternatively, is an inverse limit of finite trees by [Nad92, Theorem 10.27] and hence embeds in \mathbb{R}^3 by Proposition 3.1), and is a continuous image of $[0, 1]$ by the Hahn–Mazurkiewicz theorem [Nad92, Theorem 8.14].

- (b) *An open subset of a local dendrite is arcwise connected if and only if it is connected.*
- (c) *A connected open subset U of a local dendrite is simply connected if and only if it contains no simple closed curve.*
- (d) *A dendrite is the same thing as a simply connected local dendrite.*

Proof.

- (a) This follows from the fact that every subcontinuum of a dendrite is a dendrite [Kur68, §51, VI, Theorem 4].
- (b) This follows from [Why71, II, (5.3)].
- (c) If U contains a simple closed curve γ , [BJ52, Theorem on p. 174] shows that γ cannot be deformed to a point, so U is not simply connected. If U does not contain a simple closed curve, then the image of any simple closed curve in U is a dendrite, and hence by Proposition 7.6 is contractible, so U is simply connected.
- (d) This follows from (c). □

8.2. Local dendrites and quasi-polyhedra. We now relate the notion of quasi-polyhedron in [Ber90, §4.1] to the notion of local dendrite.

Proposition 8.2.

- (a) *A connected open subset of a local dendrite is a quasi-polyhedron.*
- (b) *A compact metrizable quasi-polyhedron is the same thing as a local dendrite.*
- (c) *A compact metrizable simply connected quasi-polyhedron is the same thing as a dendrite.*
- (d) *A compact metrizable quasi-polyhedron is special in the sense of [Ber90, Definition 4.1.5].*

Proof.

- (a) Suppose that V is a connected open subset of a local dendrite X . By [Kur68, §51, VII, Theorem 1], each point v of V has arbitrarily small open neighborhoods \mathcal{U} with finite boundary. We may assume that each \mathcal{U} is contained in a dendrite. Since V is locally connected, we may replace each \mathcal{U} by its connected component containing x : this can only shrink its boundary. Now each \mathcal{U} , as a connected subset of a dendrite, is uniquely arcwise connected [Why71, p. 89, 1.3(ii)]. So these \mathcal{U} satisfy [Ber90, Definition 4.1.1(i)(a)].

By Proposition 8.8(a) (whose proof does not use anything from here on!), X is homeomorphic to a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^3 , so every open subset of X is countable at infinity (i.e., a countable union of compact sets). Thus V is a quasi-polyhedron.

- (b) If X is a local dendrite, it is a quasi-polyhedron by (a) and compact and metrizable by definition.

Conversely, suppose that X is a compact metrizable quasi-polyhedron. In particular, X is a continuum. Condition (a_2) in [Ber90, Definition 4.1.1] implies that X is locally connected and covered by open subsets containing no simple closed curve. By compactness, this implies that there is a positive lower bound ϵ on the diameter of simple closed curves in X . By [Kur68, §51, VII, Lemma 3], this implies that X is a local dendrite.

- (c) Combine (b) and Proposition 8.1(d).
- (d) A dendrite is special since each partial ordering as in [Ber90, Definition 4.1.5] arises from some $x \in X$, and we can take θ there to be a radial distance function as in [MO90, Section 4.6], which applies since dendrites are locally arcwise connected and

uniquely arcwise connected. A local dendrite is special since any simply connected sub-quasi-polyhedron is homeomorphic to a connected open subset of a dendrite. \square

8.3. The core skeleton. By [Ber90, Proposition 4.1.3(i)], any simply connected quasi-polyhedron Q has a unique compactification \widehat{Q} that is a simply connected quasi-polyhedron. The points of $\widehat{Q} - Q$ are called the **endpoints** of Q . Given a quasi-polyhedron X , Berkovich defines its **skeleton** $\Delta(X)$ as the complement in X of the set of points having a simply connected quasi-polyhedral open neighborhood with a single endpoint [Ber90, p. 76]. In the case of a local dendrite, we can characterize this subset in many ways: see Proposition 8.4.

Lemma 8.3. *Let X be a local dendrite. Let G be a subcontinuum of X containing all the simple closed curves. Let C be a connected component of $X - G$. Then C is open in X and is a simply connected quasi-polyhedron with one endpoint, and its closure \overline{C} in X is a dendrite intersecting G in a single point.*

Proof. Since X is locally connected, $X - G$ is locally connected, so C is open. By Proposition 8.2(a), C is a quasi-polyhedron. Since C contains no simple closed curve, it is simply connected by Proposition 8.1(c).

The complement of $C \cup G$ is a union of connected components of $X - G$, so $C \cup G$ is closed, so it contains \overline{C} . Since X is connected, $\overline{C} \neq C$, so $\#(\overline{C} \cap G) \geq 1$.

If C had more than one endpoint, there would be an arc α in \widehat{C} connecting two of them, passing through some $c \in C$ since $\widehat{C} - C$ is totally disconnected by [Ber90, Proposition 4.1.3(i)]; the image of α under the induced map $\widehat{C} \rightarrow X$ together with an arc in G connecting the images of the two endpoints would contain a simple closed curve passing through c , contradicting the hypothesis on G . Also, each point in $\overline{C} \cap G$ is the image of a point in $\widehat{C} - C$. Now $1 \leq \#(\overline{C} \cap G) \leq \#(\widehat{C} - C) \leq 1$, so equality holds everywhere. \square

Proposition 8.4. *Let X be a local dendrite. Each of the following conditions defines the same closed subset Δ of X .*

- (i) *If X is a dendrite, $\Delta = \emptyset$; otherwise Δ is the smallest subcontinuum of X containing all the simple closed curves.*
- (ii) *The set Δ is the union of all arcs each endpoint of which belongs to a simple closed curve.*
- (iii) *The set Δ is the skeleton $\Delta(X)$ defined in [Ber90, p. 76].*

Proof. Let L be the union of the simple closed curves in X . If $L = \emptyset$, then X is a dendrite and (i), (ii), (iii) all define the empty set. So suppose that $L \neq \emptyset$.

For each pair of distinct components of L , there is at most one arc α in X intersecting L in two points, one from each component in the pair (otherwise there would be a simple closed curve not contained in L). Let D be the union of all these arcs α with L . Any arc β in X with endpoints in L must be contained in D , since a point of β outside D would be contained in some subarc β' intersecting L in just the endpoints of β' , which would then have to be some α . Thus D is the union of the arcs whose endpoints lie in L . By Proposition 8.1(b), X is arcwise connected, so D is arcwise connected. By definition, D is a finite union of compact sets, so D is a subcontinuum.

By Proposition 8.1(b), any subcontinuum $Y \subseteq X$ is arcwise connected, so if Y contains L , then for each α as above, Y contains an arc β with the same endpoints as α , and then

$\beta = \alpha$ (otherwise there would be subarcs of α and β whose union was a simple closed curve not contained in L); thus $Y \supseteq D$. Hence D is the smallest subcontinuum containing L .

Let Δ be the $\Delta(X)$ of [Ber90, p. 76]. If x were a point in a simple closed curve γ in X with a neighborhood Q as in the definition of Δ , then Q must contain γ , since otherwise $Q \cap \gamma$ would have a connected component homeomorphic to an open interval I , and the two points of $\widehat{I} - I$ would map to two distinct points of $\widehat{Q} - Q$, contradicting the choice of Q . Thus $\Delta \supseteq L$. But D is the smallest subcontinuum containing L , so $\Delta \supseteq D$. On the other hand, Lemma 8.3 shows that the points of $X - D$ lie outside Δ . Hence $\Delta = D$. \square

We call Δ the **core skeleton** of X , since in [HL12, Section 10] the term “skeleton” is used more generally for any finite simplicial complex onto which X admits a strong deformation retraction. If $\Delta \neq \emptyset$, then Δ is a finite connected graph with no vertices of degree less than or equal to 1 [Ber90, Proposition 4.1.4(ii)].

8.4. G -dendrites.

Proposition 8.5. *For a subcontinuum G of X , the following are equivalent.*

- (i) G contains the core skeleton of X .
- (ii) G is a deformation retract of X .
- (iii) G is a strong deformation retract of X .
- (iv) *There is a retraction $r: X \rightarrow G$ such that there exists a homotopy $h: [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow X$ between $h(0, x) = x$ and $h(1, x) = r(x)$ satisfying $r(h(t, x)) = r(x)$ for all t and x (i.e., “points are moved only along the fibers of r ”); moreover, r is unique, characterized by the condition that it maps each connected component C of $X - G$ to the singleton $\overline{C} \cap G$.*

Proof. First we show that a retraction r as in (iv) must be as characterized. Suppose that C is a connected component of $X - G$. Any $c \in C$ is moved by the homotopy along a path ending on G , and if we shorten it to a path γ so that it ends as soon as it reaches G then γ stays within $X - G$ until it reaches its final point g and hence stays within C until it reaches g ; Hence $g \in \overline{C} \cap G$, and $r(c) = g$. Thus $r(C) \subseteq \overline{C} \cap G$. By Lemma 8.3, $\#(\overline{C} \cap G) = 1$, so r is as characterized.

(i) \Rightarrow (iv): See [Ber90, Proposition 4.1.6] and its proof.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii): Trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): The result of deforming the inclusion of a simple closed curve γ in X is a closed path whose image contains γ [BJ52, Theorem on p. 174], so if G is a deformation retract of X , then G must contain each simple closed curve, so G contains the core skeleton. \square

Given an embedding of local dendrites $G \hookrightarrow X$, call X equipped with the embedding a G -dendrite if the image of G satisfies the conditions of Proposition 8.5; we generally identify G with its image. Let \mathcal{D}_G be the category whose objects are G -dendrites and whose morphisms are embeddings extending the identity $1_G: G \rightarrow G$. Given a G -dendrite X and $g \in G$, let X_g be the fiber $r^{-1}(g)$ with the point g distinguished; say that g is a **sprouting point** if X_g is not a point. Theorem 8.6 below makes precise the statement that any G -dendrite is obtained by attaching dendrites to countably many points of G .

Theorem 8.6. *There is a fully faithful functor $F: \mathcal{D}_G \rightarrow \prod_{g \in G} \mathcal{P}$ sending a G -dendrite X to the tuple of fibers $(X_g)_{g \in G}$, and its essential image consists of tuples (D_g) such that $\{g \in G : \#D_g > 1\}$ is countable.*

Proof. Let X be a G -dendrite. For each $g \in G$, the homotopy restricts to a contraction of X_g to g , so X_g is a (pointed) dendrite. By [Kur68, §51, IV, Theorem 5 and §51, VII, Theorem 1], $\{g \in G : \#X_g > 1\}$ is countable.

The characterization of the retraction in Proposition 8.5(iv) shows that a morphism of G -dendrites $X \rightarrow Y$ respects the retractions, so it restricts to a morphism $X_g \rightarrow Y_g$ in \mathcal{P} for each $g \in G$. This defines F .

Given $(D_g)_{g \in G} \in \prod_{g \in G} \mathcal{P}$ with $\{g \in G : \#D_g > 1\}$ countable, choose a metric d_{D_g} on D_g such that the diameters of the D_g with $\#D_g > 1$ tend to 0 if there are infinitely many of them. Identify the distinguished point of D_g with g . Let X be the set $\coprod_{g \in G} D_g$ with the metric for which the distance between $x \in D_g$ and $x' \in D_{g'}$ is

$$\begin{cases} d_{D_g}(x, x'), & \text{if } g = g', \\ d_{D_g}(x, g) + d_G(g, g') + d_{D_{g'}}(g', x'), & \text{if } g \neq g'. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that X is compact and locally connected and that the map $G \rightarrow X$ is an embedding. By Proposition 7.6, there is a strong deformation retraction of D_g onto $\{g\}$; running these deformations in parallel yields a strong deformation retraction of X onto G . Thus X is a G -dendrite. Moreover, F sends X to $(D_g)_{g \in G}$. Thus the essential image is as claimed.

Given $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_G$, and given morphisms $f_g : X_g \rightarrow Y_g$ in \mathcal{P} for all $g \in G$, there exists a unique morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{D}_G mapped by F to $(f_g)_{g \in G}$; namely, one checks that the union f of the f_g is a continuous injection, and hence an embedding. Thus F is fully faithful. \square

8.5. The universal G -dendrite. Let G be a local dendrite. Given a countable subset $G_0 \subseteq G$, Theorem 8.6 yields a G -dendrite W_{G, G_0} whose fiber at $g \in G$ is the universal pointed dendrite (W, w) if $g \in G_0$ and a point if $g \notin G_0$. By Theorems 8.6 and 7.5, any G -dendrite with all sprouting points in G_0 admits a morphism to W_{G, G_0} .

Now let G be a finite connected graph. Fix a countable dense subset $G_0 \subseteq G$ containing all vertices of G . Define $W_G := W_{G, G_0}$, and call it the **universal G -dendrite**. Its homeomorphism type is independent of the choice of G_0 , since the possibilities for G_0 are permuted by the self-homeomorphisms of G fixing its vertices. Any G -dendrite has its sprouting points contained in some G_0 as above (just take the union with a G_0 from above), so every G -dendrite embeds as a topological space into W_G .

Theorem 8.7. *Let X be a local dendrite, and let G be its core skeleton. Suppose that $G \neq \emptyset$, that the branch points of X are dense in X , and that there are \aleph_0 branches at each branch point. Then X is homeomorphic to W_G .*

Proof. The vertices of G of degree 3 or more are among the branch points of X . After applying a homeomorphism of G (to shift degree 2 vertices), we may assume that *all* the vertices of G are branch points of X . Since the branch points of X are dense in X , the sprouting points must be dense in G . For each sprouting point $g \in G$, the fiber X_g satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 7.1, so X_g is the universal pointed dendrite. Thus X is homeomorphic to W_G , by construction of the latter. \square

8.6. Euclidean embeddings.

Proposition 8.8.

- (a) *Every local dendrite embeds in \mathbb{R}^3 .*
- (b) *Let X be a local dendrite, and let $G \subseteq X$ be a finite connected graph containing all the simple closed curves. Then the following are equivalent:*
 - (i) *X embeds into \mathbb{R}^2 .*
 - (ii) *G embeds into \mathbb{R}^2 .*
 - (iii) *G does not contain a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of the complete graph K_5 or the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$.*

Proof.

- (a) A local dendrite is a regular continuum [Kur68, §51, VII, Theorem 1], and hence of dimension 1, so it embeds in \mathbb{R}^3 by as discussed in Remark 3.2.
- (b) See [Kur30]. □

9. BERKOVICH CURVES

Finally, we build on [Ber90] (especially Section 4 therein) and the theory of local dendrites to describe the homeomorphism type of a Berkovich curve. See also the forthcoming book by A. Ducros [Duc12], which will contain a systematic study of Berkovich curves.

Theorem 9.1. *Let K be a complete valued field having a countable dense subset. Let V be a projective K -scheme of pure dimension 1.*

- (a) *The topological space V^{an} is a finite disjoint union of local dendrites.*
- (b) *Suppose that V is also smooth and connected, and that K has nontrivial value group.*
 - (i) *If V^{an} is simply connected, then V^{an} is homeomorphic to the Wazewski universal dendrite W .*
 - (ii) *If V^{an} is not simply connected, let G be its core skeleton; then V^{an} is homeomorphic to the universal G -dendrite W_G .*

Proof.

- (a) We may assume that V is connected, so V^{an} is connected by [Ber90, Theorem 3.4.8(iii)]. Also, V^{an} is compact by [Ber90, Theorem 3.4.8(ii)]. It is metrizable by Theorem 1.1. It is a quasi-polyhedron by the proof of [Ber90, Corollary 4.3.3]. So V^{an} is a local dendrite by Proposition 8.2.
- (b) Let k be the residue field of K . Since K has a countable dense subset, k is countable, so any k -curve has exactly \aleph_0 closed points.

First suppose that K is algebraically closed. In particular K has dense value group. Choose a semistable decomposition of V^{an} (see [BPR12, Definition 5.15]). Each open ball and open annulus in the decomposition is homeomorphic to an open subspace of $(\mathbb{P}_K^1)^{\text{an}}$, in which the branch points (type (2) points in the terminology of [Ber90, 1.4.4]) are dense by the assumption on the value group, so the branch points are dense in V^{an} . At each branch point, the branches are in bijection with the closed points of a k -curve by [BPR12, Lemma 5.66(3)], so their number is \aleph_0 .

Now suppose that K is not necessarily algebraically closed. Let K' be the completion of an algebraic closure of K . Then [Ber90, Corollary 1.3.6] implies that V^{an} is the quotient of $(V_{K'})^{\text{an}}$ by the absolute Galois group of K . It follows that the branch points of V^{an} are the images of the branch points of $(V_{K'})^{\text{an}}$, and that the branches at each branch point of V^{an} are in bijection with the closed points of some curve over a finite

extension of k . Thus, as for $(V_{K'})^{\text{an}}$, the branch points of V^{an} are dense, and there are \aleph_0 branches at each branch point.

Finally, according to whether G is simply connected or not, Theorem 7.1 or Theorem 8.7 shows that V^{an} has the stated homeomorphism type. \square

Corollary 9.2. *Let K be a complete valued field having a countable dense subset and dense value group. Then $(\mathbb{P}_K^1)^{\text{an}}$ is homeomorphic to W .*

Proof. It is simply connected by [Ber90, Theorem 4.2.1], so Theorem 9.1(b)(i) applies. \square

Remark 9.3. Any finite connected graph with no vertices of degree less than or equal to 1 can arise as the core skeleton G in Theorem 9.1(b)(ii): see [Ber90, proof of Corollary 4.3.4]. In particular, there exist smooth projective curves V such that V^{an} cannot be embedded in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Remark 9.4. Theorem 9.1 also lets us understand the topology of Berkovich spaces associated to curves that are only *quasi-projective*. Let U be a quasi-projective curve. Write $U = V - Z$ for some projective curve V and finite subscheme $Z \subseteq V$. Then Z^{an} is a closed subset of V^{an} with one point for each closed point of Z , and $U^{\text{an}} = V^{\text{an}} - Z^{\text{an}}$.

Remark 9.5. Even more generally, the arguments apply equally well to Berkovich curves that do not arise as analytification of algebraic curves.

Remark 9.6. The smoothness assumption in Theorem 9.1(b) can be weakened to the statement that the normalization morphism $\tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ has no fibers with three or more schematic points.

Remark 9.7. If in Theorem 9.1(b) we drop any of the hypotheses, then the result fails; we describe the situations that arise.

- If V is the non-smooth curve consisting of three copies of \mathbb{P}_K^1 attached at a K -point of each, then V^{an} consists of three copies of W attached in the same way; this is a dendrite, but it has a branch point of order 3, so it cannot be homeomorphic to W . More generally, if the normalization \tilde{V} has three distinct schematic points above some point a of V , the same argument applies.
- If V is disconnected, then so is V^{an} , so it cannot be homeomorphic to W or W_G . In this case, V^{an} is the disjoint union of the analytifications of the connected components of V .
- Suppose that V is smooth and connected, but K has trivial value group. Then V^{an} is a dendrite consisting of \aleph_0 intervals emanating from one branch point; cf. [Ber93, p. 71]. Equivalently, V^{an} is the one-point compactification of $|V| \times [0, \infty)$, where $|V|$ is the set of closed points of V with the discrete topology.

Remark 9.8. As is well-known to experts [Thu05, BPR12], there is a metrized variant of Theorem 9.1. We recall a few definitions; cf. [MNO92]. An \mathbb{R} -tree is a uniquely arcwise connected metric space in which each arc is isometric to a subarc of \mathbb{R} . Let A be a countable subgroup of \mathbb{R} , and let $A_{\geq 0}$ (resp. $A_{>0}$) be the set of nonnegative (resp. positive) numbers in A . An A -tree is an \mathbb{R} -tree X equipped with a point $x \in X$ such that the distance from each branch point to x lies in A .

More generally, we may introduce variants that are not simply connected. Let us define an \mathbb{R} -graph to be an arcwise connected metric space X such that each arc of X is isometric to

a subarc of \mathbb{R} and X contains at most finitely many simple closed curves. Define an A -graph to be an \mathbb{R} -graph X equipped with a point $x \in X$ such that the length of every arc from x to a branch point or to itself is in A . Given an A -graph (X, x) , let $B(X)$ be the set of points $y \in B$ not of degree 1 such that y is an endpoint of an arc of length in $A_{\geq 0}$ emanating from x . Then let $\mathcal{E}(X)$ be the A -graph obtained by attaching \aleph_0 isometric copies of $[0, \infty)$ and of $[0, a]$ for each $a \in A_{>0}$ to each $y \in B(X)$ (i.e., identify each 0 with y). Let $\mathcal{E}^n(X) := \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}(\cdots(\mathcal{E}(X))\cdots))$. The direct limit of the $\mathcal{E}^n(X)$ is an A -graph \mathcal{W}_X^A . If X is a point, define $\mathcal{W}^A := \mathcal{W}_X^A$, which is a universal separable A -tree in the sense of [MNO92, Section 2], because it contains the space obtained by attaching only copies of $[0, \infty)$ at each stage; the latter is the universal separable A -tree constructed in [MNO92, Theorem 2.6.1].

Let K be a complete valued field having a countable dense subset. Let A_0 be the value group of K , expressed as an additive subgroup of \mathbb{R} . Let $A \leq R$ be the \mathbb{Q} -vector space spanned by A_0 . Let V be a projective K -scheme of pure dimension 1. Let $V^{\text{an-}}$ be the subset of V^{an} consisting of the complement of the type (1) points (the points corresponding to closed points of V). Then $V^{\text{an-}}$ admits a canonical metric, whose existence is related to the fact that on the segments of the skeleta of V^{an} , away from the endpoints, one has an integral affine structure [KS06, Section 2]. If $V^{\text{an-}}$ is simply connected, then $V^{\text{an-}}$ is isometric to \mathcal{W}^A ; otherwise $V^{\text{an-}}$ is isometric to \mathcal{W}_G^A , where G is the core skeleton of V^{an} with the induced metric.

Warning 9.9. The metric topology on $V^{\text{an-}}$ is strictly stronger than the subspace topology on $V^{\text{an-}}$ induced from V^{an} : see [FJ04, Chapter 5] and [BR10, Section B.6]. Nevertheless, when V is smooth and complete, the topological space V^{an} can be recovered from the metric space $V^{\text{an-}}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Sam Payne for suggesting the argument and references for the facts that a Berkovich curve satisfying our hypotheses has a dense set of branch points and that each has \aleph_0 branches. The third author thanks the Centre Interfacultaire Bernoulli for its hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [BPR12] Matthew Baker, Sam Payne, and Joseph Rabinoff, *Nonarchimedean geometry, tropicalization, and metrics on curves*, May 24, 2012. Preprint, [arXiv:1104.0320v2](#). \uparrow b, 9.8
- [BR10] Matthew Baker and Robert Rumely, *Potential theory and dynamics on the Berkovich projective line*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 159, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. MR2599526 \uparrow 9.9
- [Ber90] Vladimir G. Berkovich, *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990. MR1070709 (91k:32038) \uparrow 1, 5, 6, 8.2, d, a, b, d, 8.3, 8.3, iii, 8.3, 8.4, 9, a, b, 9, 9.3
- [Ber93] ———, *Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **78** (1993), 5–161 (1994). MR1259429 (95c:14017) \uparrow 9.7
- [Ber99] ———, *Smooth p -adic analytic spaces are locally contractible*, Invent. Math. **137** (1999), no. 1, 1–84, DOI 10.1007/s002220050323. MR1702143 (2000i:14028) \uparrow 1
- [Ber04] ———, *Smooth p -adic analytic spaces are locally contractible. II*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004, pp. 293–370. MR2023293 (2005h:14057) \uparrow 1
- [BJ52] K. Borsuk and J. W. Jaworowski, *On labil and stabil points*, Fund. Math. **39** (1952), 159–175 (1953). MR0056283 (15,51e) \uparrow c, 8.4

- [Cha91] Janusz J. Charatonik, *Monotone mappings of universal dendrites*, Topology Appl. **38** (1991), no. 2, 163–187, DOI 10.1016/0166-8641(91)90083-X. MR1094549 (92a:54014) ↑7.3
- [Duc12] Antoine Ducros, *La structure des courbes analytiques*, 2012. In preparation. ↑9
- [Eng78] Ryszard Engelking, *Dimension theory*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1978. Translated from the Polish and revised by the author; North-Holland Mathematical Library, 19. MR0482697 (58 #2753b) ↑3.2
- [FJ04] Charles Favre and Mattias Jonsson, *The valuative tree*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1853, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR2097722 (2006a:13008) ↑9.9
- [Fre37] Hans Freudenthal, *Entwicklungen von Räumen und ihren Gruppen*, Compositio Math. **4** (1937), 145–234 (German). MR1556968 ↑3.2
- [HL12] Ehud Hrushovski and François Loeser, *Non-archimedean tame topology and stably dominated types*, October 20, 2012. Preprint, [arXiv:1009.0252v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1009.0252v3). ↑1, 1, 4.2, 5, 5, 6, 8.3
- [Ill96] Alejandro Illanes, *Characterizing dendrites by deformation retractions*, Topology Proc. **21** (1996), 129–141. MR1489193 (98j:54058) ↑7.3
- [KS06] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman, *Affine structures and non-Archimedean analytic spaces*, The unity of mathematics, Progr. Math., vol. 244, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 321–385. MR2181810 (2006j:14054) ↑9.8
- [Kur30] Casimir Kuratowski, *Sur le problème des courbes gauches en topologie*, Fund. Math. **15** (1930), 271–283. ↑b
- [Kur68] K. Kuratowski, *Topology. Vol. II*, New edition, revised and augmented. Translated from the French by A. Kirkor, Academic Press, New York, 1968. MR0259835 (41 #4467) ↑8.1, a, a, b, 8.4, a
- [Lef31] Solomon Lefschetz, *On compact spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **32** (1931), no. 3, 521–538, DOI 10.2307/1968249. MR1503014 ↑3.2
- [MO90] John C. Mayer and Lex G. Oversteegen, *A topological characterization of \mathbf{R} -trees*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **320** (1990), no. 1, 395–415, DOI 10.2307/2001765. MR961626 (90k:54031) ↑d
- [MNO92] John C. Mayer, Jacek Nikiel, and Lex G. Oversteegen, *Universal spaces for \mathbf{R} -trees*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **334** (1992), no. 1, 411–432, DOI 10.2307/2153989. MR1081940 (93a:54034) ↑9.8
- [Nad92] Sam B. Nadler Jr., *Continuum theory*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 158, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1992. An introduction. MR1192552 (93m:54002) ↑1
- [Nöb31] Georg Nöbeling, *Über eine n -dimensionale Universalmenge im R^{2n+1}* , Math. Ann. **104** (1931), no. 1, 71–80, DOI 10.1007/BF01457921 (German). MR1512650 ↑3.2
- [Pay09] Sam Payne, *Analytification is the limit of all tropicalizations*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), no. 3, 543–556. MR2511632 (2010j:14104) ↑1
- [PT31] L. Pontrjagin and G. Tolstowa, *Beweis des Mengerschen Einbettungssatzes*, Math. Ann. **105** (1931), no. 1, 734–745, DOI 10.1007/BF01455842 (German). MR1512738 ↑3.2
- [Thu05] Amaury Thuillier, *Théorie du potentiel sur les courbes en géométrie analytique non archimédienne. Applications à la théorie d’Arakelov*, October 13, 2005. Ph.D. thesis, Université de Rennes 1. ↑9.8
- [Waż23] Tade Ważewski, *Sur les courbes de Jordan ne renfermant aucune courbe simple fermée de Jordan*, Polskie Tow. Mat. Annales Roczni **2** (1923), 49–170 (French). ↑1, 7.2
- [Why71] Gordon Thomas Whyburn, *Analytic topology*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXVIII, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1971. ↑b, a

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL
E-mail address: ehud@math.huji.ac.il

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, UMR 7586 DU CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE
CURIE, PARIS, FRANCE
E-mail address: Francois.Loeser@upmc.fr
URL: <http://www.dma.ens.fr/~loeser/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA
02139-4307, USA
E-mail address: poonen@math.mit.edu
URL: <http://math.mit.edu/~poonen/>